



Interview Summary

Application No.
09/499,031

Applicant(s)
LEE

Examiner
Nabil Hindi

Art Unit
2653

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nabil Hindi (PTO)

(3) Charles Park

(2) Michael Stein

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 2, 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

RECEIVED

Claim(s) discussed: all

APR 14 2003

Identification of prior art discussed:

Technology Center 2600

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative pointed out the inventive feature of the claimed invention and how it distinguishes over the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

NABIL HINDI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2653

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required